

THE EDITOR
NEWHAM RECORDER
152-154 HIGH ST. NTH.
LONDON E6 2JD

CAUL GRANT
JL8360 C2
HAMP THE VERNE
PORTLAND
DORSET DT5 1EG

12TH OCTOBER 2004

DEAR EDITOR,

IN REPLY TO AN ARTICLE PUBLISHED IN YOUR NEWS PAPER, FOLLOWING MY APPLICATION SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL AGAINST MY UNLAWFUL CONVICTION 29TH SEPT, UNDER THE HEADLINE 'STUNT' DRUG SMUGGLER LOSE APPEAL.

I FULLY APPRECIATE THE FACT THAT YOU HAVE PUBLISH THE COURTS INTERPRETATION OF EVENTS. SO I WROTE TO PUT THE RECORDS STRAIGHT.

MY ACTION IS CLEARLY NOT DENIED. HOWEVER, IT WAS NOT A 'STUNT'. DIRECT ACTION IN DEFIANCE OF INJUSTICE IS NOTHING NEW TO THIS COUNTRY OR INDEED, THE WORLD, FROM EARLY CHRISTIANS WHO ACT IN DEFIANCE OF UNJUST ROMAN LAWS TO NELSON MANDELA IN DEFIANCE OF APARTHEID. IN FACT, WHILST IT IS UNLAWFUL TO KILL ANOTHER PERSON, GEORGE BUSH AND TONY BLAIR HAVE DEMONSTRATED TO THE WORLD THAT EVEN THE LOSS OF INNOCENT LIVES MAY BE JUSTIFIED IN THE FACE OF INJUSTICE.

I CARRIED OUT MY ACT BECAUSE IT WAS THE ONLY WAY LEFT FOR ME TO GET INTO A COURT OF LAW TO EXPOSE THE INJUSTICES I HAVE BEEN

SUBJECTED ^{TO} OVER MANY YEARS, BY THE JUDICIARY.

1 MY GRIEVANCE WITH THE JUDICIARY STARTED BACK IN 1999 AFTER A SENIOR HIGH COURT JUDGE, MR JUSTICE TOULSON CONSPIRED WITH HIS BROTHER, OR HIS BROTHERS LAW FIRM, TO HAVE ME LOCKED UP IN JAIL WITHOUT CHARGE OR TRIAL. I SPENT 2-MONTHS IN PRISON BEFORE A PRISON OFFICER HELPED ME TO GET A HEARING AT THE HIGH COURT. I WAS THEN RELEASED FROM CUSTODY.

2 I HAVE PURSUED EVERY AVAILABLE AVENUE TO GET REDRESS FOR MY PERIOD OF UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT AND ACTION AGAINST THE JUDGE AND HIS BROTHER FOR CORRUPTING THE JUDICIARY.

3 MY COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL CORRUPTION WENT AS HIGH AS HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN WHO KINDLY INSTRUCTED THAT MY COMPLAINT BE PASSED TO THE FORMER LORD CHANCELLOR LORD IRVINE.

4 AS A DIRECT RESULT OF THE DOCUMENTED EVIDENCE WHICH CONFIRMS ACTIONS AND OMISSIONS BY THE JUDICIARY AND DOCUMENTED EVIDENCE WHICH CONFIRMS ALL THE AVENUES I HAVE PURSUED, ANY TRIAL OR HEARING BEFORE THE SAID JUDICIARY WILL ALWAYS BE PREJUDICIAL.

5 AT MY TRIAL, I PLEADED A DEFENCE OF JUSTIFICATION HOWEVER, AS A RESULT OF THE JUDGES PARTIALITY, HE TOLD THE JURY "THERE IS NO DEFENCE OF JUSTIFICATION IN ENGLISH LAW" THIS WAS NOT ONLY MISLEADING, IT WAS ALSO A BLATANT LIE.

6 I DO NOT FEEL IT NECESSARY TO LIST DEFENCES OF JUSTIFICATION, BUT FOR THE RECORDS, DURESS, NECESSITY SELF-DEFENCE, BELIEF OF CONSENT AND SO ON, THEY ARE ALL DEFENCES OF JUSTIFICATION. IT IS THE VERY SAME DEFENCE USED BY TONY BLAIR AND GEORGE BUSH.

7 SO IRRESPECTIVE OF THE ALLEGATION, IT WAS FOR THE JURY TO DECIDE IF THE EVIDENCE PLACED BEFORE THEM JUSTIFIED MY ACTIONS.

8 AS A RESULT OF THE GRAVE IMPLICATIONS, BOTH LEGAL AND POLITICAL, I WAS NOT REPRESENTED OR ALLOWED TO REPRESENT MYSELF AT THE "HEARINGS" OF MY APPLICATION SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL.

THE "SUPPORTERS" AT COURT ARE MEMBERS OF THE COMMUNITY WHO HAVE COME TOGETHER AND FORMED CAMPAIGN FOR TRUTH AND JUSTICE. (PRESSURE GROUP)

9 PLEASE FIND ENCLOSED OVERWHELMING EVIDENCE WHICH CONFIRMS THIS SUMMARY OF EVENTS. AMONGST THESE DOCUMENTS ARE COPIES OF REPLIES FROM BUCKINGHAM PALACE, THE LORD CHANCELLOR'S DEPARTMENT CONFIRMING THE TOULSON BROTHERS, THE TRIAL JUDGE'S SUMMARY AT CROYDON CROWN COURT - SEE PAGE 5 FOR HIS DIRECTION REGARDING JUSTIFICATION, A MEDICAL REPORT, OBTAINED BY THE COURT, WHICH CONFIRMS I ACTED AS A LAST RESORT.

* I PRAY AND HOPE YOU WILL HAVE THE COURAGE TO ACT UPON THE INFORMATION NOW AT YOUR DISPOSAL.

IN THE MEAN TIME I AM PREPARING MY CASE FOR THE