

CAMPAIGN FOR TRUTH & JUSTICE

Phone: 0208-257-9476
Fax: 0208-257-9476
Mobile: 07984574073
Email: caulgrant@aol.com
Http: www.ctjnet.co.uk

32 Riverside Road
Stratford
London E15 2RG

15th December 2002

The Attorney General
Lord Goldsmith QC
9 Buckingham Gate
London SW1E 6JP

Dear Sir

**REF: Human Rights Abuse, Unlawful Imprisonment, Judicial Corruption
And Abuse of Process**

Failures to Act and Enforce the Rule Of Law

After having brought matters of State Lawbreaking involving gross Human Rights Abuse, Judicial Irregularities, Bias, Corruption and Unlawful Imprisonment to the attention of The Home Secretary; (Mr. David Blunket) the Lord Chancellor; (Lord Irvine of Lairg) and the current Commissioner of Police (John Stevens) for Immediate Investigation, Address and Resolve accordingly. We find instead, the above-mentioned office's continued silence and reluctance to act without fear or favour in **Enforcing the Rule Of Law** with respect to their individual Offices and undertakings on oath.

After 8 years, it is evidential that no serious action is being taken to either investigate or resolve the matter initially brought to the attention of the above public offices by **Mr. Caul Grant**. Instead we find an increased persecution of **Mr. Grant** and the Campaign For Truth And Justice members at the hands of the Metropolitan Police Force, which over the years has resulted to Unlawful Arrest, Long Detainments, Trumped up charges and Heavy Handed Brutal Tactics to ensure a discontinuation with this matter.

As the serving Secretary of **Campaign for Truth And Justice**, I make it my duty to ensure your full knowledge of the situation so that those who wish to serve the crown in sincerity according to their oaths of office may be known and distinguishable from those who plainly exist to serve the interest of their friends, colleagues and secret societies before discharging any obligation and duty to the Monarch and its citizens.

Campaign For Truth And Justice (CTJ) has documentary evidence of State Lawbreaking. **Article 7 of the 1998 Human Rights Act** guarantees 'No punishment without law' whilst matters of **State Lawbreaking** exist. **Mr. Grant**

has issued a writ against Bindman & Partners, Reynolds Porter Chamberlain, King's Healthcare Trust and Maudsley Hospital. The above-mentioned parties have unlawfully suppressed this writ. It is also apparent that the British government has a case to answer to in regards to Mr. Caul Grant's Unlawful Imprisonment, which was ordered by Mr. Justice Toulson. We remind that **the fine for unlawful Imprisonment is Unlimited.**

Brief Summary

14-month old Prince Anthony Grant died at Kings College Hospital 3rd September 1994 as a result of Medical Negligence. In addition to his son's sudden and untimely death, the hospital subjected Mr. Grant to the most horrendous psychological torture. In the hour leading up to his son's death Mr. Grant had gone to the hospital looking for his family and was repeatedly told and reassured that his son only had a tummy upset and had been discharged.

As a direct result of the death, Mr. Grant's ten-year marriage broke down. His wife blamed him for not being at the hospital and they consequently separated. After getting legal advice from Bindman & Partners regarding possible claim for nervous shock resulting from the trauma he had suffered as a result of the false information given by the hospital staff, an application for legal aid was made and granted, alongside an authority allowing the solicitors to properly conduct investigations of Medical Negligence.

Bindman & Partners took over the files in November 1994 and in 1996 obtained independent medical evidence, which indicated that 14-month-old Prince Anthony Grant died needlessly as a result of the hospital's negligence. A meeting with Counsel and medical experts was arranged. It was agreed that Mr. Grant's case could go to court and it was advised that his wife could pursue a claim for psychiatric injury. However, **Counsel falsely advised that Mr. Grant was not in the proximity of time to pursue any claim and this marks the beginning of a conspiracy to pervert justice.**

A determined Mr. Grant approached other law firms, who all agreed that he had a good case. When he tried to have his legal aid certificate transferred, Bindmans refused to release it despite advice from the ethical section of the law society advising that Mr. Grant and his wife get separate advice. Three months before expiry of limitations Mr. Grant's legal aid certificate was transferred. Bindmans & Partners abandoned Mr. Grant's sons, case as well as his wife's case.

The new law firm, Mahmood & Co. advised that Mr. Grant could take up issue with both Bindmans and Kings College, but as they got the certificate they declined to act. So in August 1997 Mr. Grant issued a writ against Bindman & Partners for several breaches of the solicitor's code of conduct.

1. Breach of contract
2. Failure to advise about the law, relevant to Plaintiffs case
3. Willfully and knowingly giving false advice
4. Not acting in the best interest of the Plaintiff

5. Acting where there was a conflict of interest
6. Willfully trying to prevent the transfer of Plaintiff's legal aid certificate
7. Intimidation
8. Abandonment of Plaintiff's son's case
9. Damages

The Solicitors indemnity fund instructed Reynolds Porter Chamberlain to represent Bindmans & Partners on the writ. Having no suitable defence, they applied to the court for an extension of time and made numerous efforts to have the writ struck out. At first attempt, Reynolds Porter Chamberlain, put forward an argument of missed opportunity, but an order was made by District Judge Litchfield, acting as Master, **'not to interpret any part of Mr. Grant's claim as missed opportunity, as there was no such claim contained within'**. With no new argument presented to warrant a strike out, Reynolds Porter Chamberlain having no suitable defence then used their influence to have the writ dismissed by unlawful means.

Mr. Grant suspected an abuse of process and so wrote to several members of Parliament, Her Majesty the Queen and national newspapers, all to no avail. In September 1998 Mr. Grant re-issued the writ, this time against the following four defendants: Bindman & Partners, The Solicitors Indemnity Fund, Kings College Hospital and The Maudsley Hospital. In the writ Mr. Grant claim:

1. Damages in excess of £100,000,000.00 (One Hundred Million) for breach of contract.
2. An amount to be agreed by the court for Nervous Shock, Pain and Suffering.
3. Costs and a requirement that the court recommend a criminal investigation of the Defendant's acts.

In February 1999, Reynolds Porter Chamberlain presented false allegations to Mr. Justice Toulson who **granted ex parte injunction to his brother's law firm in their application to him.** Judge Toulson presided over the hearing without Mr. Grant's knowledge and **sentenced him to 6months imprisonment in his absence.**

The purpose of Mr. Justice Toulson's action was to prevent Mr. Grant continuing his pursuit of truth and justice. Judges are excluded from presiding on matters where there might even seem to be the slightest of interest. **Under Article 6, 1998 Human Rights Act, Mr. Grant has been denied his right to a fair, impartial and independent hearing.** We point out the fact that the head of the judiciary, Lord Irvine of Lairg (The Lord Chancellor) is also a government minister and personal friend of the Prime Minister (Tony Blair). He is also a friend of Geoffrey Bindman of Bindman & Partners. The relations expose a severe level of corruption, conflicting with the necessary independence of the courts. **The Lord Chancellor's knowledge of abuse is confirmed in a letter written on behalf of Her Majesty the Queen.**

By denying Mr. Grant justice the UK Government (State) has pushed him outside the protection of the law and in doing so has breached at least ten

articles of the Convention for the Protection of Human Rights:

- Article 1. Obligation to respect human rights - The state failed to secure Mr. Grant's rights and freedom;
- Article 2. Right to life - The state failed to secure Mr. Grant's life;
- Article 3. Prohibition from torture - The state has submitted Mr. Grant to torture and inhuman treatment;
- Article 4. Prohibition of slavery and forced labour - During unlawful imprisonment the state forced Mr. Grant to work;
- Article 5. Right to liberty and security - The state has unlawfully detained Mr. Grant, arrested him and has failed to pay any compensation for these unlawful acts;
- Article 6. Right to a fair trial - The state has unlawfully denied Mr. Grant the right to pursue his civil rights and have subjected him to hearings behind closed doors and have denied him the right to an independent, impartial tribunal;
- Article 7. No punishment without law - The state has punished Mr. Grant even though he did not commit any crime;
- Article 8. Right to respect for private and family life - The state has destroyed Mr. Grant's family life and has shown no respect for Mr. Grant's home;
- Article 13. Right to an effective remedy - The state has denied Mr. Grant the right to any remedial resolutions;
- Article 17. Prohibition of abuse of rights - The state has abused Mr. Grant's rights guaranteed by the Convention.

The state has become a lawbreaker and therefore cannot continue to be a law enforcer until the corruption is addressed.

Article 7 of The 1998 Human Rights Act guarantees 'No punishment without law' and unless decisive actions are taken within fourteen days, (14 days) from the date of this letter, **we will declare all Campaign For Truth And Justice members Above the jurisdiction of all Uk judicial, penal and police systems.** We will take matters into our own hands and declare hostility on all state apparatus and institutions, including all personnel's employed within the police, judicial and penal systems.

Like you have stated 'in a government of laws, existence of the government will be imperiled if it fails to observe the law scrupulously. Government is the potent omnipresent teacher. For good or ill, it teaches the whole people by its example. If the government becomes a lawbreaker, it breeds contempt for the law, it invites everyman to become a law unto himself, it invites anarchy'

**Full Details of facts available for public perusal at
www.ctjnet.co.uk**

Yours Faithfully

A. Balogun BA (Hons.) Social Policy
Secretary

52

c/c:

Chartered Institute of Journalists
Guild of British Newspaper Editors
Talking Newspaper Association of the United Kingdom
Police Federation of England & Wales
Trades Union Congress
International Bar Association
General Council of the Bar
Criminal Bar Association of England & Wales
Justice
Law Society
Legal Action Group
British Legal Association
Institute of Race Relations
Magistrates Association
Society of Public Teachers of Law
The Home Secretary
Amnesty International
National Council of Civic Trust Societies
The Lord Chancellor
The National Council For Civil Liberties
Campaign For Press & Broadcasting Freedom
The Commissioner of Police
The Prime Minister
OHCHR - UNOG
The Cabinet Office
Global African Congress
The Mayor of London
The Chief Whip
Buckingham Palace
Black Quest For Justice Campaign
NGO Liaison WCAR
California Legislative Black Caucus
Working Group on Arbitrary Detention
The Nation of Islam (UK)
House Of Lords
The Muslim Council Of Britain
The Conservative Party
Greater London Magistrates Authority
The Crown Prosecution Service
ROTA
Liberal Democratic Party
Her Majesty's Procurator
Advocacy Partners
National Council For Civil Liberties
CEDR

52

The Press Association
Commonwealth Magistrates and Judges Association
Article 19
Institute For Citizenship
Citizen Organising Foundation
Citizens Connection.net
Black and Ethnic Minority Community Case Forum
Black European Community Development Federation
Minority Rights Group International
British Institute Of Human Rights
International Centre For The Legal Protection Of Human Rights
International Alert
Action For Justice
Bar Pro Bono Unit
Law Centres Federation
Socialist Worker
B Sky B
BBC News
ITV News
London Information Network On Conflict and State Building
Channel Four News
National Centre For Social Research
Media Trust
Community Matters
NUS
Minister Louis Farrakhan
The Scotsman
Daily Mail
African Times
The Voice
New Nation
Southwark News